

United States Patent and Trademark Office

V.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,470	09/05/2003	Arvind Halliyal	G0533	8691
75	90 07/21/2004		EXAMINER	
Thomas W. Adams			TRAN, LONG K	
Renner, Otto, Boisselle & Sklar, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Nineteenth Floor 1621 Euclid Avenue			2818	
Cleveland, OH 44115-2191			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/656,470	HALLIYAL ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Long K. Tran	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED une 28, 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applice (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The desired was a second content of the	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appelland 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).						
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request f application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims with the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S :					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:		·				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				

David Nelms
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

10. Other: ____

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claim structure is not patentable over Ma et al. (US Patent No. 6,407,435) for the reasons stated in the Final Office Rejection.

David Nelms
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800